

COMPTROLLER'S INVESTIGATIVE REPORT

Greeneville School Support Corporation -Dance Team Booster Club

April 18, 2024

Jason E. Mumpower Comptroller of the Treasury



DIVISION OF INVESTIGATIONS



JASON E. MUMPOWER Comptroller

April 18, 2024

Greeneville City Schools Board of Education and Superintendent 129 West Depot Street Greeneville, TN 37743

Greeneville City Schools Board of Education and Superintendent:

The Office of the Comptroller of the Treasury conducted an investigation of selected records of the Greeneville School Support Corporation – Dance Team Booster Club, and the results are presented herein.

Copies of this report are being forwarded to Governor Bill Lee, the State Attorney General, the District Attorney General of the 3rd Judicial District, certain state legislators, and various other interested parties. A copy of the report is available for public inspection in our Office and may be viewed at <u>http://www.comptroller.tn.gov/ia/</u>.

Sincerely,

Jason E. Mumpower Comptroller of the Treasury

JEM/MLC



INVESTIGATIVE REPORT

Greeneville School Support Corporation – Dance Team Booster Club

The Office of the Comptroller of the Treasury investigated allegations of malfeasance related to the Greeneville School Support Corporation's dance team booster club. The investigation was limited to selected records for the period January 2018 through March 2023. The results of the investigation were communicated with the Office of the District Attorney General of the 3rd Judicial District.



BACKGROUND

The Greeneville School Support Corporation (GSSC) in Greeneville, Tennessee, is a nonprofit corporation established on May 23, 2008, to serve as the parent corporation and oversight board for the Greeneville City School System's individual school support organizations (SSO), excluding parent teacher organizations, at Greeneville Middle School (GMS) and Greeneville High School (GHS). Each SSO has its own board of directors (board), operates a bank account under GSSC's

federal tax identification number, operates under GSSC's state nonprofit corporation status, and must annually remit a financial report to GSSC.

The GSSC's dance team booster club (club) was governed by a board of four officers, including a president, vice-president, secretary, and treasurer. Kimberly Brown, who served as club treasurer from June 2020 to March 2023, was responsible for collecting and depositing funds and paying associated club expenses. Club collections included dancer fees and funds raised from cookie sales, dance clinics, a spaghetti dinner, and sponsorships. Club expenses included uniforms, clothing, shoes, poms, camp registration, competition fees, fundraiser costs, banners, and end-of-season banquet expenses. The club supported the GMS and GHS dance teams from one bank account until July 2022, when a separate club and bank account were established to support the GMS dance team. In March 2023, GSSC dissolved the GHS club's board and closed the GHS club's bank account, which had maintained a negative bank balance since January 20, 2023. As of March 2023, GHS processes the GHS dance team's financial activity through the GHS internal athletic accounts.

The School Support Organization Financial Accountability Act, codified in Tenn. Code Ann. § 49-2-601 *et seq.* provides that officers of the club, like officers of all other SSOs, are required to ensure the funds and property of their organization are safeguarded and used only for purposes related to the goals and objectives of the organization. To assist such officers in discharging their



duties, the Comptroller, pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. § 49-2-610, published the *Model Financial Policy for School Support Organizations (Model Financial Policy)*, which prescribes a set of accounting controls to ensure the funds are used to further the organization's goals and objectives.

RESULTS OF INVESTIGATION

1. KIMBERLY BROWN MISAPPROPRIATED CLUB FUNDS FOR PERSONAL USE TOTALING AT LEAST \$6,079.79

From September 2021 through November 2022, Brown misappropriated club funds totaling at least \$6,079.79 as follows:

A. Brown wrote reimbursement checks to herself and her family members totaling at least \$5,669.79 for her personal benefit. From September 2021 through November 2022, Brown issued 29 club checks to herself and two family members totaling \$10,322.54. The memo on each check indicated they were reimbursements for various club expenses, including dance camp, jackets, shoes, poms, clinic supplies, and other items (Refer to Exhibit 1). However, investigators were not provided with sufficient documentation to support that the checks were for reimbursement of club expenses. Brown admitted to investigators that \$5,547.66 of the reimbursements were for personal medical bills and not for club expenses. Brown also admitted she used her church's credit card to pay \$122.13 in club expenses, but she issued a check to reimburse herself instead of the church. Investigators also question the remaining \$4,652.75 in reimbursement checks written to Brown and her family members (further discussed in Finding 4).



Example of a reimbursement check to Brown for her personal benefit.

- B. Brown misappropriated club funds totaling \$410.00 for bank fees and payments on two personal loans as follows:
 - 1) In April 2022, \$75.00 in bank fees were deducted from the club's bank account because a loan company attempted two \$2,959.75 electronic drafts from the club's bank account for payment on Brown's personal loan. The club's bank account



balance was insufficient to cover the first attempt, which resulted in a \$35.00 returned item fee. Brown submitted a stop payment request for the second attempt, resulting in a \$40.00 stop payment fee.

2) In September 2022, Brown authorized a loan company to process a \$335.00 electronic draft from the club's bank account for payment on her personal loan.

The table below summarizes Brown's misappropriation of club funds:

Summary of Misappropriation by Kimberly Brown						
Category	Total					
A. Reimbursement Checks						
Reimbursement for Personal						
Medical Expenses	\$ 5,547.66					
Reimbursement for Club Expenses						
Paid by Church	<u>\$ 122.13</u>					
Total Reimbursement Checks	<u>\$ 5,669.79</u>					
B. Personal Loan Payments						
Bank Fees	\$ 75.00					
Electronic Draft	<u>\$ 335.00</u>					
Total Personal Loan Payments	<u>\$ 410.00</u>					
Total Misappropriated Club Funds	<u>\$ 6,079.79</u>					

2. KIMBERLY BROWN PRESENTED FOUR FABRICATED DOCUMENTS AS JUSTIFICATION FOR REIMBURSEMENTS

Brown presented investigators with three sales orders and one invoice as documentation to support four reimbursement checks to herself totaling \$2,105.42. The value of the reimbursement checks is included in the total misappropriation in Finding 1.A. above. The documents Brown provided did not match the standard sales order or invoice templates used by the club vendors (**Refer to Exhibit 2 and Exhibit 3**). Investigators identified formatting, spelling, and calculation errors on the fabricated documents. Brown handwrote credit card numbers and confirmation numbers on each document to validate the reimbursement. Investigators confirmed with the club vendors that the documents were not for valid orders and that the club vendors did not have a record of payment using the credit card numbers Brown provided.



Exhibit 2

Order: 68634	GREENEVIL	The Athletic Sho 1211 Latta S Chattanooga, TN 423-875-490 Sales@TheAthletic! LE MIDDLE SCHOOL - 1	St. 37406 05 Shop.com		Paj	ge #: 1	The Athletic Shop, 1211 Latta St. Chattanooga. TN 3 423-875-4905		Milliantes Visio Epon	pr		
CUST PO: . ORD DATE: 07/30/2021 DUE DATE: 11/26/2021 TERMS: Due: //0	BA	ORDER INFORMA MT ST: PARTIALLY PAID INV LANCE: \$ 650.00 UST HIT: N	OICED	ORD ST: F ART ST: F INV NO: I	No Art Needed		BILL TO. Greeneville Dance		SHIP	TO:		
PURCHAS SCHORG: GREENEVILLE TEAMDIV: DANCE TEAM PHONE: FAX: EMAIL 1: EMAIL 2:	MEDDLE SCHOOL PH FA EN	CUSTOMER INFORM BILLING INFO CONT: GREENEVILLE MIDDI ONE: X: LAIL: DIR:	D LE SCHOOL-*	SCH/ORG: ATTN: ADDR:	SHIPPING INFO		ORDER: 71632 Order Date:1/17/	2022 Rec'd 7/31	22			
TRACKING:								P.O. NUMBER	REQUISITIONER			
							SALESPERSON	P.O. NOWBER	REQUISITIONER	SHIPPED VIA	F.O.B. POINT	TERMS
		SALES REP/COORDINATOR	INFORMATION				SALESPERSON	71632	98A	SHIPPED VIA Ground	F.O.B. POINT Warehouse	TERMS Due on receipt
		SALES REP/COORDINATOR	INFORMATION									
		PRODUCT INFORM	(ATION					71632	98A DESCRIPTION	Ground	Warehouse	Due on receipt
Qty Vendor 25 Adda (Spanisharg	Model Celer E0-256 CELY			CWO#	Notes	Price Each Total Price 550.00 51.250.00	QUANTITY	71632 Addias (Spartanbur	98A DESCRIPTION g & SLD) H04757-6WI	Ground	Warehouse	Due on receipt
		PRODUCT INFORM	IATION Description	CWO#	Sates		QUANTITY 2	71632 Addias (Spartanbur Addias (Spartanbu	98A DESCRIPTION g & SLD) H04757-6Wl rg & SLD) H04757-7W	Ground	Warehouse UNIT PRICE 50.00 50.00	Due on receipt TOTAL 100.00 50.00
25 Alides (Spatishing		PRODUCT INFORM 5 5 6 63 7 1 1 2 2 3	IATION Description	CWO# 0 0	Site		QUANTITY 2 1	71632 Addias (Spartanbur Addias (Spartanbu	98A DESCRIPTION g & SLD) H04757-6WI	Ground	Warehouse UNIT PRICE 50.00	Due on receipt TOTAL 100.00
25 Adde (Spetisburg and SLD) 3 Adde (Spetisburg	ID4756 GREY	PRODUCT INFORM 5 5 6 63 7 1 1 2 2 3	LATION Description CLOUDPOAM PURE 20	0		\$30.00 \$1,350.00	QUANTITY 2 1	71632 Addias (Spartanbur Addias (Spartanbu	98A DESCRIPTION g & SLD) H04757-6Wl rg & SLD) H04757-7W	Ground	Warehouse UNIT PRICE 50.00 50.00	Due on receipt TOTAL 100.00 50.00
25 Adde (Renoting ad SL2) 3 Adde (Renoting ad SL2) 13 Adde (Renoting ad SL2)	H64756 GREY	PRODUCT INFORM Sime-Op 5 55 6 6.5 7 1 1 2 2 3 3 2 5 4 3 2 11 6.2 9 3 2 12 5 4 3 2 11 8.2 - - - 1 2 5 4 3 2 1 1.2 - - - - 1 1.2 1 7 3 -	LATION Description Cloudfoam frig 10 Cloudfoam frig 10	0	80	\$50.00 \$1,550.00 \$50.00 \$1,550.00 \$50.00 \$4550.00	QUANTITY 2 1 2	71632 Addias (Spartanbur Addias (Spartanbu Addias (Spartanbur	98A DESCRIPTION g & SLD) H04757-6Wi rg & SLD) H04757-7W g & SLD) H04757-7.5V	Ground	Warehouse UNIT PRICE 50.00 50.00 50.00	Due on receipt TOTAL 100.00 50.00 100.00
Alidau (Sparkehurg and S12) Alidau (Sparkehurg and S12) Alidau (Sparkehurg and S12) Addau (Sparkehurg	BIO56 GRY BIO56 GRY BIO56 BLACK	PRODUCT INFORM Sime-Op 5 55 6 6.5 7 1 1 2 2 3 3 2 5 4 3 2 11 6.2 9 3 2 12 5 4 3 2 11 8.2 - - - 1 2 5 4 3 2 1 1.2 - - - - 1 1.2 1 7 3 -	LATION Description Cloudfoam frig 10 Cloudfoam frig 10	0		\$50.00 \$1,250.00 \$50.00 \$150.00	QUANTITY 2 1 2	71632 Addias (Spartanbur Addias (Spartanbu	98A DESCRIPTION g & SLD) H04757-6Wi rg & SLD) H04757-7W g & SLD) H04757-7.5V	Ground	Warehouse UNIT PRICE 50.00 50.00 50.00 SUBTOTAL	Due on receipt TOTAL 100.00 50.00 100.00
12 Adds:/pending add12) 3 Adds:/pending add12) 10 Adds:/pending add12) 13 Adds:/pending add12) 13 PAIMENT TYPE	BR056 GREY BR056 GREY BR054 BLACK PAYME NUMBER	PRODUCT INFORMU 5 00-00 7 10 5 45 3 5 4 5 7 1 5 4 45 7 1 5 4 45 7 1 5 4 4 5 7 1 1 5 4 17 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ATION Description CONTROL NUMBER O CONTROL NUMBER O CONTROL NUMBER O AMOUNT	0	20 TOTAL ART FIZ SHIPPING & HANDLING	550.00 \$1,554.00 550.00 \$154.00 550.00 \$456.00 \$2,856.00 \$6.00 \$6.00	QUANTITY 2 1 2	71632 Addias (Spartanbur Addias (Spartanbu Addias (Spartanbur	98A DESCRIPTION g & SLD) H04757-6Wi rg & SLD) H04757-7W g & SLD) H04757-7.5V	Ground hite hite White	Warehouse UNIT PRICE 50.00 50.00 50.00 SUBTOTAL SALES TAX	Due on receipt TOTAL 100.00 50.00 100.00 200.00 250.00 0.00
22 Adda (Specifier 3 Adda (Specifier 3 Adda (Specifier 13 Adda (Specifier 13 Adda (Specifier 13 12	BROM GREY BROM GREY BROM BLACK PAYNE	PRODUCT INTORM 5 15 6 65 7 7 15 6 7 7 15 6 7 7 15 6 7 7 15 6 7 7 15 7 7 15 6 7 7 15 7 7	EATION Description CONTROLANTRELO CONTROLANTRELO CONTROLANTRELO	0	50 TOTAL ART FITS SHEPPEG & HANDLING TAX EXEMPT	550.00 \$1,554.00 550.00 \$1,554.00 \$50.00 \$4,554.00 \$2,856.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	QUANTITY 2 1 2	71632 Addias (Spartanbur Addias (Spartanbu Addias (Spartanbur	98A DESCRIPTION g & SLD) H04757-6Wi rg & SLD) H04757-7W g & SLD) H04757-7.5V	Ground hite hite White	Warehouse UNIT PRICE 50.00 50.00 50.00 SUBTOTAL	Due on receipt TOTAL 100.00 50.00 100.00
12 Adds:/pending add12) 3 Adds:/pending add12) 10 Adds:/pending add12) 13 Adds:/pending add12) 13 PAIMENT TYPE	BR056 GREY BR056 GREY BR054 RLACK PAYME NUMBER	PRODUCT INFORMU 5 00-00 7 10 5 45 3 5 4 5 7 1 5 4 45 7 1 5 4 45 7 1 5 4 4 5 7 1 1 5 4 17 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ATION Description CLOUPOWIPUE 10 CLOUPOWIPUE 10 CLOUPOWIPUE 10 CLOUPOWIPUE 10 AMOUNT LANAGE	0	20 TOTAL ART FIZ SHIPPING & HANDLING	550.00 \$1,554.00 550.00 \$154.00 550.00 \$456.00 \$2,856.00 \$6.00 \$6.00	QUANTITY 2 1 2	71632 Addias (Spartanbur Addias (Spartanbu Addias (Spartanbur	98A DESCRIPTION 8 & S.D.) H04757-6W 8 & S.D.) H04757-7W 8 & S.D.) H04757-7.5V 9 & S.D.) H04757-7.5V	Ground hite hite White	Warehouse UNIT PRICE 50.00 50.00 50.00 SUBTOTAL SALES TAX	Due on receipt TOTAL 100.00 50.00 100.00 200.00 250.00 0.00

Example of a valid sales order

Example of a fabricated sales order



Example of a valid sales order

Example of a fabricated sales order

Exhibit 3



3. KIMBERLY BROWN KNOWINGLY ISSUED A \$5,044.00 WORTHLESS CHECK

On January 17, 2023, Brown knowingly issued a \$5,044.00 club check when the club's bank account balance was \$1.38. Six months past due, the check was tendered as payment for the team's attendance at a July 2022 dance camp. Brown admitted to investigators that she knew the club's bank account did not have enough funds to cover the check amount, but she issued and mailed it anyway. The check cleared the club's bank account on January 20, 2023, resulting in a \$35.00 overdraft fee and an overdrawn account balance. Brown attempted to obtain sponsorships to cover the negative account balance, but she only collected and deposited \$1,000.00 of sponsorship funds in February and March 2023. As a result, the club had a negative bank balance until March 26, 2023, when GSSC deposited funds to cover the remaining negative balance and closed the account.

4. KIMBERLY BROWN MADE OTHER QUESTIONABLE DISBURSEMENTS TOTALING AT LEAST \$7,439.15

From July 2020 through March 2023, Brown made other questionable disbursements totaling at least \$7,439.15. These questionable disbursements included \$4,652.75 of reimbursements to Brown and her family, and \$2,786.40 for a cash withdrawal, a pageant sponsorship, a coach's gift, and several checks to individuals and other club vendors. Brown did not maintain receipts or invoices for these disbursements as required by the *Model Financial Policy*; therefore, investigators could not determine whether these disbursements were lawful and exclusively for the benefit of the club's operations.

The table below summarizes Brown's other questionable disbursements:

Summary of Other Questionable Disbursements							
Category	Total						
Checks for Reimbursements to Brown	\$ 4,247.53						
Checks for Reimbursement to Brown's Spouse	\$ 210.12						
Checks for Reimbursement to Brown's Daughter	<u>\$ 195.10</u>						
Total Questionable Checks for Reimbursement to							
Brown and Family	\$ 4,652.75						
Cash and Checks to Other Individuals and Vendors	<u>\$ 2,786.40</u>						
Total Other Questionable Disbursements	<u>\$ 7,439.15</u>						

5. KIMBERLY BROWN DID NOT DEPOSIT AT LEAST \$3,407.00 OF EXPECTED FUNDRAISER COLLECTIONS

From June 2020 through September 2022, Brown did not deposit at least \$3,407.00 of expected collections from cookie sales and a dance clinic fundraiser. Brown did not provide investigators with fundraiser collection records as required by the *Model Financial Policy*. Instead, investigators obtained cookie orders and dance clinic fundraiser details from other sources. Based on these

fundraising details, investigators determined that expected collections from the fundraisers were at least \$3,407.00 less than the corresponding fundraiser collections deposited by Brown.

On April 16, 2024, the Greene County Grand Jury indicted <u>KIMBERLY BROWN</u> on one count of Theft of Property over \$2,500, one count of Worthless Checks over \$2,500, five counts of Forgery, four counts of Criminal Simulation, and four counts of Fabrication of Evidence.

The charges and allegations contained in the indictment are merely accusations of criminal conduct, and not evidence. The defendant is presumed innocent unless and until proven guilty beyond a reasonable doubt and convicted through due process of law.

Greeneville School Support Corporation - Dance Team Booster Club Investigation Exhibit

INTERNAL CONTROL AND COMPLIANCE DEFICIENCIES

Our investigation revealed deficiencies in internal control and compliance, some of which contributed to Brown's misappropriation of club funds without prompt detection. These deficiencies included:

<u>Deficiency 1</u>: Former club officers did not properly safeguard and maintain accurate records

Former club officers did not provide investigators with bylaws, financial policies, bank reconciliations, cash receipts, or certain collections documentation. The club records included limited documentation for disbursements. Investigators obtained some receipts and invoices directly from club vendors. Due to the lack of supporting documentation, investigators could not determine if collections were deposited in full or if all disbursements were for purposes related to the goals and objectives of the club. According to the *Model Financial Policy*, bylaws, financial policies, and official minutes of all meetings are "considered permanent records as long as the SSO exists and shall be maintained for at least four years after dissolution of the SSO." In addition, "All collection and disbursement records, bank statements, imaged checks, receipts/invoices for disbursements...shall be organized, and maintained by the treasurer or bookkeeper by fiscal year. These records must be maintained for at least four years." The lack of club organizational and financial records greatly increases the risk that fraud, waste, and abuse will occur without prompt detection.

<u>Deficiency 2</u>: Former club officers failed to implement adequate segregation of duties

Former club officers failed to segregate their duties adequately. Brown was responsible for fundraising and financial duties, including recordkeeping, making purchases, writing checks,



receipting collections, and depositing funds. The *Model Financial Policy* states, "Duties shall be segregated between officers and members of the SSO. No one person within the SSO shall be in control of a transaction from inception to recording." Allowing one individual exclusive control over all financial duties increases the risk of fraud without prompt detection.

Deficiency 3: Former club officers failed to implement adequate controls for disbursements

Former club officers failed to implement adequate internal controls for disbursements as follows:

- Not all checks had dual signatures.
- Authorized check signers signed blank checks.
- Checks were issued out of number sequence.
- Checks were missing.
- Duplicate check numbers were used.
- Authorized check signers did not review copies of invoices or receipts before signing checks.

The *Model Financial Policy* recommends, "Official prenumbered checks should require two signatures." Checks having two signatures provide an increased degree of control by indicating that both authorized signers agree that the payment is proper and reasonable. The *Model Financial Policy* further states, "Pre-signing blank checks shall never be allowed. Each disbursement by check should have an invoice or receipt to document the authenticity of the disbursement. Checks should not be signed unless the check is accompanied by supporting documentation." Issuing checks out of sequence and using duplicate numbers makes it more difficult to discover fraudulent checks. Requiring a review of supporting documentation before signing checks reduces the risk that errors or intentional misappropriations will occur without prompt detection.

<u>Deficiency 4</u>: Former club officers failed to ensure funds were deposited within three days of collection

Former club officers failed to ensure that club funds were deposited within three days of collection as required by the *Model Financial Policy*. From June 2020 through March 2023, Brown failed to deposit collections for fundraisers, dancer fees, and sponsorships within three days of receipt. The delay in depositing funds weakens internal controls over collections and increases the risk of fraud and misappropriation.

Deficiency 5: Former club officers failed to submit proper fundraiser approval forms

Former club officers failed to complete and submit fundraiser approval forms for seven of nine fundraisers held during fiscal years 2021 and 2022. However, former club officers obtained proper fundraiser approval in fiscal years 2020 and 2023. Unapproved fundraisers included cookie sales, a dance clinic, and sponsorship request mailings. The *Model Financial Policy* requires, "The SSO must obtain approval of the director of schools or the director's designee before undertaking any fundraising activity. The SSO shall maintain documentation to demonstrate the approval of the director of schools."



<u>Deficiency 6</u>: Former club officers charged fees to dancers

Former club officers charged fees to dancers for practice clothes, camp, shoes, and other accessories, which is not allowed by the *Model Financial Policy*. The *Model Financial Policy* states, "SSOs must not charge fees to students to participate in school-sponsored activities or events...As such, they (fees) must be accounted for by the school and deposited in the school bank account." The fees varied by year and between GMS and GHS programs. Due to the lack of adequate collection records, investigators could not determine if each dancer paid the same fees.

<u>Deficiency 7</u>: Former club officers maintained individual fundraising accounts

Former club officers maintained an individual account for each dancer, and fees charged could be reduced by fundraiser proceeds or sponsorship funds obtained. According to the *Model Financial Policy*, "When fundraising proceeds are attributed to specific students and used to offset fees or costs incurred by those students...it represents income to the students/parents." Maintaining individual fundraising accounts may result in fines or penalties from the Internal Revenue Service.

Deficiency 8: Former club officers did not remit school funds to GHS officials

Former club officers did not remit funds collected by a coach to GHS officials. In some instances, parents would send fees or fundraiser collections to a coach's Venmo account, and the coach would issue a personal check to the club for the same amount. The *Model Financial Policy* explains, "If the SSO collects school funds, whether voluntarily or inadvertently, the SSO shall immediately remit those funds to school officials. This would include money collected and accounted for by coaches, band directors, or other school representatives, which by definition (i.e., state law), is school money."